[2016] NZSSAA 093

Reference No. SSA 005/16

IN THE MATTER of the Social Security Act 1964

<u>AND</u>

IN THE MATTER of an appeal by **XXXX** of

Auckland against a decision of a Benefits Review Committee

DECISION OF THE CHAIRPERSON IN RELATION TO A PROPOSED APPEAL BY WAY OF CASE STATED

- [1] The appellant has lodged an appeal in respect of the Authority's decision of 16 June 2016.
- [2] Appeals from the Authority's decisions are limited to questions of law. There is no general right of appeal.
- [3] In accordance with the provisions of the Social Security Act 1964 the appellant has been requested to lodge a draft case stated which includes the questions of law which the appellant would like to be put to the High Court.
- [4] In a recent High Court decision, *Lawson v Chief Executive of the Ministry of Social Development*¹ the High Court has noted the following:
 - (i) The Authority is not obliged to recognise all questions of law proposed as justifying the stating of a case for the decision of the High Court.
 - (ii) The Chair of the Authority must retain final control over a case state and ensure that a case is confined to errors of law alone.
 - (iii) Not every legal issue is to be submitted to the High Court. Where some have obvious answers then there is no question to refer to the Court.
 - (iv) Questions of law must raise some tenable basis for suggesting an error has been made.

_

¹ [2016] NZHC 910.

[5] The Authority notes that:

(i) The document filed by the appellant as a draft case stated does not comply

with the High Court rules.

(ii) The document does not contain any proposed questions of law.

[6] On 7 October 2016 the Chairperson issued a minute to the appellant advising

of her concerns and giving the appellant 14 days to comment or provide a question of

law which he wished to be posed to the High Court.

[7] The appellant responded by email on 10 October 2016 but did not directly

address the Chairperson's minute or provide a question of law which he would like to

be put to the High Court.

[8] I am not satisfied that the appellant has posed a question of law, or indeed a

legal issue, which should be put to the High Court. I am not therefore prepared to

2016

state a case to the High Court in relation to this appeal.

DATED at WELLINGTON this 3rd day of November

Ms M Wallace

Chairperson