## IN THE EMPLOYMENT COURT AUCKLAND

[2017] NZEmpC 16 EMPC 250/2016

IN THE MATTER OF a challenge to a determination of the

**Employment Relations Authority** 

BETWEEN ROSS THOMSON

Plaintiff

AND ARROW LINEMARKING LIMITED

Defendant

Appearances: E Burke, counsel for plaintiff

S Scott, counsel for defendant

Judgment: 23 February 2017

## CONSENT JUDGMENT OF JUDGE M E PERKINS

- [1] These proceedings involved a non-de novo challenge to an oral determination of the Employment Relations Authority dated 1 July 2016, and a further written determination of the Authority dated 31 August 2016.
- [2] The parties have now resolved all issues between them arising from those determinations. The terms and conditions of the settlement are in full and final settlement of the non-de novo challenge and are to remain confidential to the parties.
- [3] Pursuant to s 183(2) of the Employment Relations Act 2000 (the Act), and by consent, those parts of the determinations which were subject to the non-de novo challenge are set aside.

Thomson v Arrow Line Marking Ltd [2016] NZERA Auckland 218 (the July 2016 determination).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Thomson v Arrow Line Marking Ltd [2016] NZERA Auckland 218 (the August 2016 determination).

[4]	The terms	of settlement.	being	confidential	to	the	parties,	are	subject	to	an
order prohibiting publication pursuant to cl 12(2) of sch 3 to the Act.											

[5] There are no issues as to costs.

ME Perkins Judge

Judgment signed at 9.15 am on 23 February 2017