

Annual Report of the

WEATHERTIGHT HOMES TRIBUNAL

For the 12 months ended 30 June 2019

Presented to the Minister of Justice and the Minister of Housing

WEATHERTIGHT HOMES TRIBUNAL

OVERVIEW

It is my pleasure to present the annual report of the Tribunal for the period commencing 1 July 2018 and ending on 30 June 2019.

The Tribunal is a well performing judicial body producing high quality and timely decisions and orders. The financial year saw a decline in the number of new claims commenced compared with the previous financial year. This continues a long term steady decline as we are now well past the peak of 'leaky home' building. Member capacity has reduced commensurately to reflect the Tribunal's lower workload. As was the case in the previous year, more claims were finalised than were filed, so the backlog is now minimal.

It is pleasing to see a continuation of a very high settlement rate (93%), a tribute to the effectiveness of the Tribunal's procedures.

JURISDICTION AND GENERAL INFORMATION

Establishment and Function of the Tribunal

The Tribunal is based in Auckland. It was established in 2007 by section 101 of the Weathertight Homes Resolution Services Act 2006 (the Act). It is part of Government's response to the 'leaky homes crisis' enabling claims by the owners of dwellinghouses (stand-alone homes, townhouses and apartments) to be heard faster and more economically than in the general courts. Claimant owners have the option of suing responsible parties in the Tribunal or the courts.

The claims are typically against one or more of the local territorial authority, builder, sub-contractors, developer, project manager, engineer, architect and occasionally the previous owner. The causes of action are grounded in tort, contract and statutory liability.

The primary function of the Tribunal is to hear and determine under the Act claims for adjudication.¹ It has other secondary functions, as set out in the Act, including

_

¹ Weathertight Homes Resolution Services Act 2006, s 62.

reconsidering decisions of the chief executive of the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE) dismissing claims as ineligible for processing by the Weathertight Homes Resolution Service of MBIE.²

The Tribunal is required to manage adjudication proceedings in a manner that best ensures they are speedy, flexible and cost-effective.³ There are special procedures in relation to lower value claims, being those under \$20,000, in order to ensure they are quickly and efficiently heard and determined. Such claims are rare and there are none currently before the Tribunal.

The Tribunal operates in an inquisitorial or investigative manner, as permitted by the Act.⁴ It may grant any remedy that can be claimed in a court of law, though has only limited power to award costs.⁵

The Chair can issue practice directions.⁶ There are comprehensive Directions and Guidelines in place.

Decisions of the Tribunal are enforced as orders of the District Court.⁷

The claims presently before the Tribunal range in value from about \$217,000 to \$10.3 million. They concern stand-alone houses, townhouses and apartments. The Tribunal has cases throughout New Zealand.

Appeals

A party to a claim determined by the Tribunal may appeal on a question of law or fact arising out of the decision.⁸ The appeal will be heard by the District Court if the amount does not exceed \$350,000, otherwise it will be heard by the High Court.⁹ An appeal must be filed within 20 working days after the date of the Tribunal's decision, or within such further time as the court may allow.¹⁰

Parties may also seek judicial review of the Tribunal's decisions and orders in the High Court, particularly where an appeal is not available.

² Section 49.

³ Section 57(1).

⁴ Section 73(1)(a).

⁵ Sections 50(1), 91.

⁶ Section 114.

⁷ Section 98(1).

⁸ Section 93(1).

⁹ Section 93(2).

¹⁰ Section 94(2).

Publication of Tribunal Decisions

The Tribunal must give public notice of every determination.¹¹ However, it can order any part of the evidence or proceedings or the name of any party or other person not to be published.¹² In the interests of open justice, it is rare for the Tribunal to make such orders.

The Tribunal has a website page, accessible as part of the Ministry's website. It is at www.justice.govt.nz/tribunals/weathertight-homes/. All of the Tribunal's determinations are published on the website.

Membership

Members of the Tribunal are appointed by the Governor-General on the recommendation of the Minister of Justice, after consultation with the Minister of Housing.¹³ The Minister of Justice recommends those who, in the opinion of the Minister, are suitable to be appointed having regard to their knowledge, skills and experience.¹⁴

One of the members is appointed by the Governor-General as Chair of the Tribunal.¹⁵

There is a code of conduct for members. A comprehensive bench book is available to the members concerning the jurisdiction, procedures and law governing the Tribunal.

Registry

The administrative and financial support of the Tribunal is provided by the Courts and Tribunals division of the Ministry of Justice.

The Ministry provides such staff as are necessary for the Tribunal to perform its functions effectively.¹⁶ No Registrar has been appointed, as provided for under the Act.¹⁷

¹¹ Section 100(1)(a).

¹² Section 69, clause 14 of Schedule 3.

¹³ Section 103(1) & (2).

¹⁴ Section 103(3).

¹⁵ Section 103(4).

¹⁶ Section 108.

¹⁷ Section 108(1).

The day-to-day administration of the Tribunal is headed by Ms Jan Pilkington, service manager. She reports to Ms Jessie Henderson, justice services manager, who is responsible for all tribunals based in Auckland. The Tribunal's long-standing case manager is Ms Kate Bishop, who was assisted by Ms Roshina Mohammed-Khan until November 2018. Ms Leena Kumaran joined the team in March 2019. Ms Dianne Turner, judicial personal assistant, provided secretarial services to myself and the members.

The members have access to a legal research team. This team also provides proof reading services, produces abstracts of our decisions for the website and issues a regular newsletter updating members on the relevant law. It is managed by Ms Susan O'Connor. Ms Brooke Clifford provided those services until May 2019. We welcomed Ms Sarah Jenkison to the Auckland team in June 2019.

I thank these officers for their excellent support of myself and the members. The day-to-day operational support and research services of the Tribunal are in the hands of a high performing and professional team.

2018/2019 FISCAL YEAR ACTIVITIES

Membership

The Tribunal had the following members during the financial year, all of whom are legally qualified:

	Date of first appointment	Date of expiry of warrant
David Plunkett (Chair)	23 September 2015	23 September 2021
Kevin Kilgour	14 May 2008	17 April 2024
Paul Cogswell	14 December 2010	17 April 2024

Mr Kilgour and Mr Cogswell attended a judicial decision-writing course given by an Australian expert on 3 September 2018.

I am indebted to all the members for their excellent work and particularly thank Mr Kilgour who undertakes much of the judicial work.

Claims and Applications Filed and Finalised

The relevant information is set out in Appendix 1.

A total of 8 claims were filed during the financial year. This compares with 12 claims in the last financial year.

It can be seen that 15 claims were finalised during the year, compared with 24 in the previous year. Of those 15, 14 (93%) of them settled, largely at or shortly after mediation. This is similar to the settlement rate of 92% in the last financial year. This is a tribute to the effectiveness of the Tribunal's processes promoting settlement.

Appeals and Judicial Reviews of Tribunal Decisions

The relevant information is set out in Appendix 2.

There continues to be a low rate of challenge to the Tribunal's decisions and orders, this being a testament to the quality of the Tribunal's work.

THE YEAR AHEAD

As I remarked in my last report, the establishment of a stand-alone tribunal for insurance disputes in Canterbury arising out of the earthquakes there, being disputes broadly similar to those concerning leaky homes, raises an issue as to whether there should be a nation-wide general building disputes tribunal. This could include all insurance and construction claims arising out of building work throughout New Zealand. The Minister of Justice, the Hon Andrew Little, has already identified a concern about access to justice for those with building disputes of a modest value. Given the complexity of the legal and technical issues, they are not suitable for the Disputes Tribunal which, in any event, has a jurisdiction only up to \$30,000.

I highlighted in my last report that the Law Commission has previously commented adversely on the fragmented, ad hoc way tribunals have developed in New Zealand. It has recommended amalgamating tribunals into larger bodies to achieve greater coherence and efficiency, as has occurred overseas.¹⁸ Even if one large omnibus

¹⁸ Law Commission *Tribunal Reform* (NZLC SP20, 2008 at [9], [12], [34], [3.27], [6.3], [6.35]).

tribunal is not favoured, as recommended by the Law Commission, the Government could sensibly amalgamate tribunals with like functions, as occurred when the Immigration and Protection Tribunal was established in 2010.

David Plunkett

Chair

6 January 2020

Appendix 1 – Claims and Applications Filed and Finalised

Claims and applications outstanding at 1 July 2018	24
Active claims	9
Inactive claims*	15
Eligibility reviews	0
Pre-commencement discovery	0
Total claims and applications filed	8
Claims for adjudication filed	8
Eligibility reviews sought	0
Pre-commencement discovery	0
Total claims and applications finalised	15
Claims determined	0
Eligibility reviews determined	0
Pre-commencement discovery	0
Claims terminated	1
Claims settled and closed	14
Procedural orders issued	72
Claims and applications outstanding at 30 June 2019	17
Active claims	10
Inactive claims*	7
Eligibility reviews	0
Pre-commencement discovery	0

^{*} On hold, or subject to settlement agreement but not yet closed

Appendix 2 – Appeals and Judicial Reviews of Tribunal Decisions

Appeals pending	Appeals filed 18/19 FY	OUTCOMES		Appeals pending	
at 1/7/18 1		Allowed	Dismissed	Discontinued /Abandoned	at 30/6/19
1	0	0	0	1	0

Judicial Reviews	Judicial	OUTCOMES		Judicial Reviews	
pending at 1/7/18	Reviews Filed 18/19 FY	Allowed	Dismissed	Discontinued /Abandoned	Pending at 30/6/19
1	0	1	0	0	0