BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENT COURT I MUA I TE KOOTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA

Decision No. [2020] NZEnvC 171

IN THE MATTER AND	of the Resource Management Act 1991
IN THE MATTER	of an of an ex parte application for interim enforcement orders pursuant to sections 314 and 320 of the Act
BETWEEN	NORTHLAND REGIONAL COUNCIL ENV-2020 – AKL - 000161 Applicant
AND	CRAIG McDONALD MAISEY Respondent
Judge MJL Dickey sitting alone under ss 309(2) and 320 of the Ac	

Appearances: K de Silva for the Applicant Craig M Maisey in person

Date of Decision:9 October 2020Date of Issue:9 October 2020

INTERIM ENFORCEMENT ORDERS

To:

Court:

Craig McDonald Maisey 68 Okahu Downs Drive Kaitaia



Craig McDonald Maisey-v-Northland Regional Council Decision 01102020

The Prohibition Orders

- Pursuant to ss 320(1), 314(1)(a)(i) and 314(1)(a)(ii) of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA), Craig McDonald Maisey (Respondent) is prohibited from commencing:
 - a. bringing any solid waste and contaminants from solid waste onto the property at 68 Okahu Downs Drive, Kaitaia, comprising approximately 4.5576 hectares being Lot 2, Deposited Plan 541655, Record of Title Identifier 910619, North Auckland Land Registry District (**Property**).
 - b. any outdoor burning of solid waste and contaminants from solid waste at the Property.
- 2. Pursuant to ss 320(1), 314(1)(a)(i) and 314(1)(a)(ii) of the RMA, the Respondent is prohibited from commencing:
 - any burial of the solid waste and contaminants from solid waste at the Property; and
 - b. any other soil disturbance works on the Property within 50 metres of the solid waste piles until further investigations and any remedial work that may be required confirm that it is safe to undertake other soil disturbance works at the Property.

Terms and conditions

3. Pursuant to ss 320(1) and 314(5) of the RMA, these Orders apply to the personal representatives, successors and assigns of the Respondent to the same extent as they apply to the Respondent.

Service

4. These Orders shall take effect from when these Orders are served on the Respondent.



Costs

5. Costs are reserved.

REASONS

[1] The Court's reasons for making these orders will follow in due course.

[2] Further orders seem likely following receipt of advice from the parties as to the timing of the proposed remediation of asbestos located on the Property.

For the Court:

MJL Dickey Environment Judge

