

IN THE MATTER OF

A complaint made under s 73 & 74 of
the Private Security Personnel and
Private Investigators Act 2010

AGAINST

**COREY BARRON & SPY TOWER
LIMITED**

Respondent

DECISION

[1] In August 2020 I referred a complaint that Corey Barron and Spy Tower Ltd were carrying out security work without the required licence to the Complaints Investigation and Prosecution Unit (CIPU) for investigation.

[2] On 20 April 2021 I granted Spy Tower's application for a company licence on the condition that the decision would be reviewed should CIPU's prosecute Spy Tower under the Act or if CIPU concluded that there were any other reasons why Spy Tower was not suitable to be a licence holder.

[3] CIPU have completed their investigation and issued a report. They conclude that Spy Tower was in breach of the Act by carrying out security work without the required licence but that its staff all held COAs in the appropriate classes.

[4] During the investigation concerns were raised about the quality of Spy Tower's workmanship as there was evidence that some of the poles on which security cameras were mounted were on a lean. The investigator concluded these were more health and safety issues and fell short of establishing that Spy Tower was not suitable to be a licence holder as it did not relate to their work as security consultant and security technicians.

[5] As Spy Tower has now been granted a licence and regularised its position, CIPU conclude that no further action against it is required. Therefore, even though the complaint has been established, no further disciplinary action will be taken against Sky Tower and the complaint is closed.

DATED at Wellington this 6th day of August 2021



P A McConnell
Private Security Personnel Licensing Authority