

**IN THE EMPLOYMENT COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
WELLINGTON**

**I TE KŌTI TAKE MAHI O AOTEAROA
TE WHANGANUI-A-TARA**

**[2019] NZEmpC 168
EMPC 58/2019**

IN THE MATTER OF a challenge to a determination of the
 Employment Relations Authority

BETWEEN YAN ZHANG
 Plaintiff

AND TELCO ASSET MANAGEMENT
 LIMITED
 Defendant

Hearing: (On the papers)

Appearances: Y Zhang, in person
 T Cleary, counsel for the defendant

Judgment: 20 November 2019

JUDGMENT OF JUDGE B A CORKILL

[1] It has been drawn to the attention of the Court that inadvertent errors are contained in the Court's judgment.¹

[2] A minor alteration should be made to the phrase referred to at para [83].

[3] At para [149] the correct figure is 20 per cent.²

[4] At para [173](a) the correct figure is \$12,231.25.³

¹ *Zhang v Telco Asset Management Ltd* [2019] NZEmpC 151.

² See [173](c).

³ See [57].

[5] It is well established that the Court has power to deal with obvious errors of this kind. It does so by applying the slip rule described in r 11.10 of the High Court Rules 2016, via reg 6 of the Employment Court Regulations 2000.⁴

[6] Accordingly, I have today reissued the corrected judgment to reflect the Court's intentions.

B A Corkill
Judge

Judgment signed at 3.45 pm on 20 November 2019

⁴ *Snowdon v Radio New Zealand Ltd* [2013] NZEmpC 91 at [7]-[8] and *Muldoon v Nelson Marlborough District Health Board* [2011] NZEmpC 115 at [12].