

Annual Report of the

IMMIGRATION ADVISERS COMPLAINTS AND DISCIPLINARY TRIBUNAL

For the 12 months ended 30 June 2020

Presented to the Minister of Justice and the Minister of Immigration

Hon Aupito William Sio, Minister for Courts and Tribunals, on behalf of the Minister of Justice

Hon Kris Faafoi, Minister of Immigration

Pursuant to s 86(1) of the Immigration Advisers Licencing Act 2007, I have pleasure in presenting the annual report of the Immigration Advisers Complaints and Disciplinary Tribunal for the 12 months ended 30 June 2020.

David Plunkett

Chair

Immigration Advisers Complaints and Disciplinary Tribunal

OVERVIEW

It is my pleasure to provide the annual report for the Tribunal for the 2019/2020 financial year.

I am pleased to report that the number of outstanding complaints before the Tribunal has reduced over the financial year from 36 (at 1 July 2019) to 21 (at 30 June 2020). This continues a trend since my appointment. It was 64 at 1 July 2018.

As the backlog reduced, so has the delay in determining complaints. At the commencement of the financial year, the maximum period between a complaint being referred to the Tribunal and a sanctions decision being issued, was just over two years. It has been reduced to 20 months. This positive trend will continue into the next financial year.

I highlighted in my last annual report the unfortunate pattern of serious misconduct by licensed advisers known as 'rubber stamping'. My predecessor, Mr Pearson commented on the practice in his last report. It occurs where an adviser uses unlicensed agents, often offshore, to recruit the clients and prepare the visa applications for lodging by the adviser. The adviser typically has little or no engagement with the application or the client. It is proving difficult to eliminate.

Part of the problem lies in the light sanctions regime. The maximum financial penalty that can be imposed by the Tribunal is only \$10,000 and it can prohibit renewal of a cancelled licence for only two years. The Ministers are respectfully urged to consider a stiffer sanctions regime.

I would also invite the regulatory body, the Immigration Advisers Authority (the Authority), to link the listing of individual agents on its website to any decisions of the Tribunal upholding complaints against that adviser. In this way, consumers can be better informed about advisers being considered for instruction.

JURISDICTION AND GENERAL INFORMATION

Establishment and Function of the Tribunal

The Tribunal is based in Wellington. It was established in 2007 by s 40 of the Immigraton Advisers Licensing Act 2007 (the Act). The purpose of the Act is to promote and protect the interests of consumers receiving immigration advice, and to enhance the reputation of New Zealand as a migration destination, by providing for the regulation of persons who give immigration advice.¹

The functions of the Tribunal are:²

- (1) to make decisions on complaints about immigration advisers;
- (2) to decide whether an adviser's licence should be suspended;
- (3) to hear appeals against decisions of the Registrar of Immigration Advisers (the Registrar) to cancel the licence of an adviser; and
- (4) to hear appeals against decisions of the Registrar to reject a complaint.

The principal grounds of complaint are a breach of the Code of Conduct, negligence and dishonest or misleading behaviour.³

The Tribunal hears those complaints referred to it by the Registrar, who is the head of the Authority. Complaints cannot be made by clients or members of the public directly to the Tribunal. It is the Authority which has the primary function of investigating complaints and preparing them for the Tribunal.⁴ Complaints can also be initiated by the Registrar. They are usually heard by the Tribunal on the papers, though it retains a discretion to have an oral hearing.⁵

The Tribunal can dismiss or uphold the complaint.⁶ If it upholds the complaint, it may decide to take no further action or impose one or more sanctions.⁷ These range from caution or censure, or a direction to undertake training, up to suspension or cancellation of the adviser's licence. An adviser can also be prevented from

¹ Immigration Advisers Licensing Act 2007, s 3.

² Sections 41, 54 & 55.

³ Section 44(2).

⁴ Sections 45(4), 47, 48(1).

⁵ Section 49(3) & (4).

⁶ Section 50.

⁷ Sections 50 & 51.

reapplying for a licence for a period not exceeding two years. The Tribunal can additionally impose a financial penalty not exceeding \$10,000, order the repayment of costs and expenses and the payment of reasonable compensation.

The Tribunal may regulate its procedures as it thinks fit.⁸ The previous Chair issued Practice Notes (one each for complainants and advisers) and Notes for Guidance.⁹

For most complaints, the Tribunal issues two decisions. The first is a liability or substantive decision upholding or dismissing the complaint. Where the complaint is upheld, a second decision will usually be issued imposing sanctions. All decisions must be in writing and state reasons.¹⁰

Decisions of the Tribunal imposing a financial penalty or ordering the payment of any monies can be enforced as if they were orders of the District Court.¹¹

Certain decisions of the Tribunal can be appealed to the District Court. A party to a complaint can appeal a sanctions decision or a decision to cancel or suspend an adviser's licence or a decision to reject an appeal against the Registrar's cancellation of a licence. An appeal must be filed within 20 working days of notice of the Tribunal's decision, unless further time is allowed by the District Court. A liability or substantive decision cannot be appealed, but it could be the subject of judicial review in the High Court.

Memorandum of Understanding

There is a Memorandum of Understanding between the Ministry of Justice and the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment in regard to the Tribunal and the Immigration Advisers Authority, entered into in 2015. It sets out the respective responsibilities of the Ministries.

Publication of Tribunal Decisions

The Tribunal has a practice of publishing every decision on its website. However, the Chair can order that any part of the evidence or the name of any witness not be published.¹³ It is rare for the Tribunal to supress the name of the adviser, given the public interest in knowing the names of advisers who misconduct themselves. The

⁸ Section 49(1).

⁹ Schedule to Act, cl 12.

¹⁰ Schedule to Act, cl 8B.

¹¹ Section 52.

¹² Section 81.

¹³ Section 50A.

Tribunal does, however, have a practice of suppressing the names of complainants, in order to encourage them to make complaints.

The Tribunal's decisions may be accessed on its website page, which is part of the website of the Ministry of Justice. It is to be found at www.justice.govt.nz/tribunals/ immigration-advisers-complaints-and-disciplinary-tribunal/ It has been upgraded to provide abstracts of decisions and a limited search function.

Membership

The Tribunal comprises the Chair and such other members as are appointed.¹⁴

The appointments are by the Governor-General on the recommendation of the Minister of Justice, after consultation with the Ministry of Immigration.

Members may be appointed for up to five years.¹⁵

Registry

The administrative and financial support of the Tribunal is provided by the Courts and Tribunals division of the Ministry of Justice.

The Ministry provides such staff as are necessary to enable the Tribunal to discharge its functions.¹⁶

The day to day administration of the Tribunal is headed by Mr Ricardo Rebuelta, service manager. The Tribunal's case managers are Ms Victoria Chao and Mr Paul Bleyenga. Ms Dianne Turner, judicial personal assistant, provides secretarial services.

I have access to a legal research team. This team also provides proof reading services and produces abstracts of the decisions for the website. It is managed by Ms Susan O'Connor. Ms Sarah Jenkison provides those services for the Tribunal.

I thank these officers for their excellent support of the Tribunal. The day to day operational support and research services are in the hands of a high performing and professional team.

¹⁴ Section 40(2).

¹⁵ Schedule to Act, cl 2(1).

¹⁶ Section 42.

2019/2020 FISCAL YEAR ACTIVITIES

Membership

The Tribunal comprises the Chair only:

David Plunkett (Chair) 20 September 2018 20 September 2021

The case load, while full, does not presently justify the appointment of any other members.

Complaints and Appeals filed and finalised

The relevant information is set out in Appendix 1. The annual report is required to include certain information regarding the Tribunal's performance.¹⁷

Appeals and Judicial Reviews of Tribunal Decisions

The relevant information is set out in Appendix 2.

THE YEAR AHEAD

The priority remains further reduction of the number of outstanding complaints. It is my goal to reduce to less than 12 months the maximum period between receipt of a complaint and its final determination (usually the sanctions decision) in the next financial year.

David Plunkett

Chair

15 January 2021

¹⁷ Section 86(2).

Appendix 1 – Complaints and Appeals Filed and Finalised

Complaints and appeals outstanding at 1 July 2019	36
Complaints	36
Appeals	0
Total complaints and appeals filed	23
Complaints	19
Appeals	4
Total complaints and appeals finalised	38
Complaints dismissed	4
Complaints upheld but no further action taken	0
Complaints upheld and sanctions imposed	32
Complaints withdrawn	0
Appeals upheld	0
Appeals rejected	2
Appeals withdrawn	0
Complaints and appeals outstanding at 30 June 2020	21
Complaints	19
Appeals	2
Other decisions	5
Complaints upheld but sanctions not yet determined	5
Notices of suspension	0
Interim decisions	0

Appendix 2 – Appeals and Judicial Reviews of Tribunal Decisions

Appeals pending	Appeals filed 19/20 FY	OUTCOMES			Appeals pending
at 1/7/19		Allowed	Dismissed	Discontinued /Abandoned	at 30/6/20
3*	1**	3*	0	0	1**

Judicial Reviews			OUTCOM	Judicial Reviews	
pending at Reviews 1/7/19 Filed 19/20 FY	Allowed	Dismissed	Discontinued /Abandoned	Pending at 30/6/20	
0	0	0	0	0	0

^{*} These appeals relate to three decisions of the Tribunal made in 2016 concerning one adviser. Following a decision of the High Court in August 2019 directing final determination of the appeals by the District Court, that court by consent on 21 January 2020 partially allowed the appeals and varied a decision of the Tribunal. The adviser is no longer licensed.

^{**} An appeal against a decision of the Tribunal was filed in the District Court in May 2020 by a complainant against an adviser. It is understood the Authority was treated as a party, but it is not known if the Tribunal was a party. It was summarily dismissed by the Court in September 2020, outside the financial year being considered.