

ORDER PROHIBITING PUBLICATION OF THE JUDGMENT AND ANY PART OF THE PROCEEDINGS (INCLUDING THE RESULT) IN NEWS MEDIA OR ON THE INTERNET OR OTHER PUBLICLY AVAILABLE DATABASE UNTIL FINAL DISPOSITION OF TRIAL. PUBLICATION IN LAW REPORT OR LAW DIGEST PERMITTED.

NB: LOWER COURT RESTRICTIONS ON PUBLICATION OF POLICE SUMMARY OF FACTS AND OTHER MATERIAL REMAIN IN FORCE.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

**SC 115/2014
[2014] NZSC 166**

BETWEEN THE QUEEN
Applicant

AND SHIVNEEL SHAHIL KUMAR
Respondent

Court: Glazebrook, Arnold and O'Regan JJ

Counsel: M D Downs and P D Marshall for Applicant
R M Mansfield and D A C Bullock for Respondent

Judgment: 19 November 2014

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

A The application for leave to appeal is granted ([2014] NZCA 489).

B The approved question is whether the Court of Appeal was right to conclude that an inculpatory statement made by Mr Kumar to undercover police officers was improperly obtained and should not be admitted in evidence at his trial.

REASONS

[1] This appeal will be heard immediately following the hearing of the appeal in *R v TWW*.¹ The Court has set aside Thursday 12 February 2015 and Friday 13 February 2015 for the hearing of these two appeals.

Solicitors:
Crown Law Office, Wellington for Applicant

¹ *R v TWW* [2014] NZSC 142.